

DEMOCRATIC SPLIT: A NEAR-RECORD PERFORMANCE

Democrats in Congress divided along North-South lines in 1972 as often as they did in 1971, equaling the near-record high for any year since Congressional Quarterly started this voting study in 1957. The 1972 percentage of North-South Democratic splits was, like the 1971 percentage, the highest since 1960.

A split occurred on 330 recorded votes, or 38 percent, of the record 861 roll-call and recorded teller votes taken during 1972, the same percentage as in 1971. This compared to 119 splits out of 300 votes (40 percent) in 1960, the last year of the Eisenhower administration.

A split is defined as a recorded vote on which a majority of voting southern Democrats took a position opposite to a majority of voting northern Democrats.

For the purposes of this study, the South includes Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. The North includes the remaining 37 states.

In the Senate during 1972, northern Democrats broke with their southern counterparts on 215 of 532 roll calls (40 percent). The regional breach developed on 115 of 329 House votes (35 percent).

The 1972 percentage of North-South splits combined with the 1971 percentage reflects the highest point of dissent among congressional Democrats during the Nixon administration.

The 1972 Democratic disunity was intensified by such controversial issues as school busing, equal employment opportunity, foreign aid appropriations, welfare, consumer protection, the minimum wage and revenue sharing.

Until 1972 and the identical percentage in 1971, the highest percentages of splits since 1960 were in 1969 (36 percent) and 1965 (35 percent).

On 234 of the 330 North-South split votes, a majority of Republicans joined a majority of southern Democrats to form a conservative coalition. This occurred 152 times out of 215 splits in the Senate. In the House it happened on 82 of 115 split votes.

But of the 96 votes on which the Democratic schism was not affected by conservative Republican support, southern Democrats were defeated by their northern colleagues 85 times. The northern view prevailed over the southern position on 31 of 33 splits in the House. Of the North-South split votes in the Senate, the northern Democrats won all but 9 of 63 split votes.

Senate Splits

Senate Democrats divided along regional lines on more votes than they did in 1971, when there were 157 splits on 423 roll calls, or 37 percent. While draft, defense spending and foreign aid questions were the most divisive during 1971, the 1972 issues which provoked the most disunity were equal employment opportunity, school busing,

1972 North-South Split Votes

The Democratic Party split along regional lines on all the conservative coalition votes—152 in the Senate and 82 in the House—and, in addition, on 63 other Senate and 33 other House recorded votes. These additional votes are listed below by CQ vote number and may be found in issues of the 1972 Weekly Report. Conservative coalition vote numbers are contained in the conservative coalition study. (p. 65)

Senate Votes (63)

9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 30, 33, 36, 38, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 60, 61, 63, 66, 68, 70, 71, 86, 98, 115, 165, 189, 256, 268, 273, 324, 343, 353, 354, 369, 370, 371, 372, 382, 411, 426, 428, 432, 434, 448, 449, 463, 480, 486, 496, 497, 499, 506, 508.

House Votes (33)

1, 3, 10, 15, 30, 31, 40, 60, 61, 62, 70, 82, 131, 145, 146, 147, 161, 164, 166, 167, 170, 191, 214, 225, 232, 243, 251, 253, 271, 278, 308, 320, 323.

revenue sharing and welfare. Of the 215 North-South splits in the Senate, the southerners won 105 times.

Democratic senators from the North and South took opposite sides on 20 votes on the equal employment opportunity enforcement bills (S 2515). Among these were a vote rejecting an amendment to forbid the federal government from requiring racial or sexual employment quotas, three cloture votes and the vote on final passage of S 2515, giving the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission court enforcement powers against discriminatory employers.

The North-South split also developed on nine votes on the Omnibus Education Amendments of 1972 (S 659). Among these votes were the adoption of two amendments barring use of federal education funds for busing to overcome racial imbalance and the tabling of a motion to recommit the conference report on S 659 with instructions to strengthen anti-busing provisions.

On other domestic issues, the North-South split occurred on five votes on general revenue sharing (HR 14370), four votes on the welfare-social security bill (HR 1) and two votes each on the Consumer Protection Organization Act (S 3970) and the minimum wage bill (S 1861).

House Divisions

Regional lines within the Democratic Party in the House were less apparent in 1972 than in 1971. In 1971 Democrats from the North and West disagreed with those from the South on 122 (38 percent) of the year's 320 roll-

Democratic Split - 2

call votes. In 1972 the House split was 115 (35 percent) of 329 roll calls. The southern view prevailed on 67 of the 115 split votes during 1972.

There were no more than three split votes on any one measure in the House during 1972. Garnering three votes each were revenue sharing with the states (HR 14370) and water pollution control (HR 11896). There were two split votes each on foreign aid appropriations for 1972 (HR 12067) and for 1973 (HR 16705 and H J Res 1331).

Split votes also occurred on the extension of the lives of the Civil Rights Commission (HR 12652) and the Office of Economic Opportunity (HR 12350), extended jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Board (HR 11357), general revenue sharing (HR 14370) and a crop subsidy amendment to HR 15690.

The table below shows 1972 North-South splits:

	Total Recorded Votes	North-South Democratic Splits	Percentage of Splits
Both Chambers	861	330	38%
Senate	532	215	40%
House	329	115	35%

The table below compares the number and percentage of 1972 voting splits in both chambers with the figures for the previous 15 years:

	Total Recorded Votes	North- Democratic	Percentage of Splits
	Votes	Splits	Spins
1972	861	330	38%
1971	743	279	38
1970	684	233	34
1969	422	153	36
1968	514	173	34
1967	560	148	26
1966	428	124	29
1965	459	160	35
1964	308*	75	24
1963	348	84	24
1 962	348	74	21
1961	320	107	33
1960	300	119	40
1959	302	83	27
1958	293	84	29
1957	207	64	31

*110 civil rights votes were omitted from the session's total of 118 for this study.

Individual Positions

In the Senate, Majority Leader Mike Mansfield (D Mont.) voted with the majority of southerners on 11 percent of the roll calls in 1972, compared to 17 percent in 1971. Majority Whip Robert C. Byrd (D W. Va.) supported the southern position 51 percent of the time, compared with 62 percent in 1971. Among the House leadership, Speaker Carl Albert (D Okla.) voted with his fellow southerners on 18 percent of the split votes, a considerable decrease from his 39 percent in 1971. Albert's score, however, is based on a total of only 62 of the 115 House North-South split votes.

House Majority Leader Hale Boggs (D La.) backed the southern position on 18 percent of the recorded votes in 1972, compared to 31 percent in 1971.

The lists below show those senators and representatives who supported the southern position the most and the least during 1972:

SENATE

Most "Southern" Southerners. The southern Democratic senators who voted most frequently with the majority of southerners on the 215 party-splitting votes in 1972 were John C. Stennis* (Miss.), 93 percent; Harry F. Byrd Jr. (Va.), 92; James B. Allen* (Ala.), 90; Herman E. Talmadge* (Ga.), 88; Sam J. Ervin Jr.* (N.C.), 87.

Least "Southern" Southerners. The southern Democratic senators who voted most frequently against the majority of southerners in 1972 were J. W. Fulbright* (Ark.), 47 percent; Fred R. Harris* (Okla.), 46; Lawton Chiles* (Fla.), 42; Ernest F. Hollings* (S.C.) 40; Lloyd Bentsen (Texas), 37.

Most "Southern" Northerners. The northern Democratic senators who voted most frequently with the majority of southerners were: Alan Bible* (Nev.), 53 percent; Robert C. Byrd* (W. Va.), 51; Howard W. Cannon* (Nev.), 40; Jennings Randolph* (W Va.), 34; Clinton P. Anderson* (N.M.), 33.

HOUSE

Most "Southern" Southerners. The southern Democratic representatives who voted most frequently with the majority of southerners on the 115 party-splitting votes in 1972 were Omar Burleson* (Texas), 90 percent; W. C. (Dan) Daniel* (Va.), 90; G. V. (Sonny) Montgomery (Miss.), 89; O. C. Fisher* (Texas), 88; Jamie L. Whitten (Miss.), 87; David E. Satterfield III* (Va.), 87; Dawson Mathis (Ga.), 85.

Least "Southern" Southerners. The southern Democratic representatives who voted most frequently against a majority of their southern colleagues in 1972 were Bob Eckhardt* (Texas), 77 percent; Dante B. Fascell* (Fla.), 74; Romano L. Mazzoli* (Ky.), 73; Carl D. Perkins* (Ky.), 67; Henry B. Gonzalez* (Texas), 66; Claude Pepper (Fla.), 57; Richardson Preyer (N.C.), 51.

Most "Southern" Northerners. The northern Democratic representatives who voted most frequently with the majority of southerners were Goodloe E. Byron* (Md.), 73 percent; William J. Randall* (Mo.), 70; Richard H. Ichord* (Mo.), 66; Harold Runnels (N.M.), 62; W. R. Hull Jr.* (Mo.), 61; Wayne N. Aspinall (Colo.), 56; Bill D. Burlison (Mo.), 56.

In dicates member was in same category in 1971.

VOTING STUDIES

Democratic Split - 3

Stands of Individual Democrats

The charts below and on the following page show how often individual Democrats voted with the southern and northern positions on party-splitting issues. The first two columns are based on the 215 Senate roll calls and the 115 House record votes on which the majority of voting southern Democrats opposed the stand taken by the majority of voting northern Democrats in 1972. The last two columns show the votes of Democrats on 372 Senate roll calls and 237 House roll calls in the 92nd Congress.

• COLUMN 1 gives the percentage of recorded votes on which the member voted "yea" or "nay" in agreement with the majority of voting southern Democrats in 1972.

• COLUMN 2 gives the percentage of recorded votes on which the member voted "yea" or "nay" in agreement with the majority of voting northern Democrats in 1972.

• COLUMN 3 gives the percentage of recorded votes on which the member voted "yea" or "nay" in agreement with the majority of voting southern Democrats in the 92nd Congress.

• COLUMN 4 gives the percentage of recorded votes on which the member voted "yea" or "nay" in agreement with the majority of voting northern Democrats in the 92nd Congress.

Failure to vote kept the figure for most Senators and Representatives below the maximum possible.

-Key-

† Not eligible for all roll calls in 1972.

* Not eligible for all roll calls in the 92nd Congress.

• Speaker Albert votes only on recorded teller votes.

Southern Democratic Senators' Scores

ALABAMA					GEORGIA						Stennis	92	1	9 1	2	SOUTH CAROLINA				
Allen	90	6	91	8	Gambrell	53	7	63	5	?						Hollings	50	40	53	33
Sparkman	61	8	65	10	Talmadae	88	8	87	8	8	NORTH CAROLINA									
ARKANSAS					LOUISIANA						Ervin	87	6		6	TEXAS				
Fulbright	35	47	29	54	Edwards 1	57†	8	† 57 [†]	+ 8	3*	Jordan	65	2	67	8	Bentsen	52	37	57	32
McClellan	65	10	71	11	Long	72	14	70	13	3						VIRGINIA				
FLORIDA					MISSISSIPPI						OKLAHOMA					Byrd 2	92	7	90	7
Chiles	47	42	47	38	Eastland	73	2	78	2	2	Harris	3	46	3	43	Spong	54	30	58	30

1 Sen. Allen J. Ellender (D La.) died July 27, 1972. His scores for 1972 were 65 percent with the South and 6 percent with the North. Sen. Elaine Edwards (D) sworn in Aug. 7, 1972, to replace Ellender.

2 Byrd elected as independent.

Southern Democratic Representatives' Scores

52 73	9† 9	· 82* 69	• 9*	8 Stu	المعادمين					NORTH CAROLINA					TEXAS				
73	-	69			UCKey	59	21	56	17	1 Jones	83	12	81	13	1 Patman	37	27	36	26
	11		6	9 la	andrum	59	10	51	13	2 Fountain	83	9	85	8	2 Dowdy	12	1	31	* 2*
		79	9	10 Ste	tephens	69	15	66	16	3 Henderson	84	10	87	9	4 Roberts	80	12	77	10
57	24	65	19							4 Galifianakis	45	17	49	28	5 Cabell	74	11	72	11
51	36	53	32			54	17	41	21	6 Preyer	41	51	40	55	6 Teague	61	13	57	12
											60	7		5	8 Eckhardt	15	77	\mathbb{N}	* 80*
£ 1	20	20	22						-	11 Taylor	83	17	73	19	9 Brooks	46	44	48	41
										0//					10 Pickle	57	40	57	36
												• •	~~	•	11 Poage	71	18	68	15
16	28	18	45	/ Pe	erkins	30	67	33	65							47	39	45	34
				101/15	SIANA										13 Purcell	60	16	59	14
77	11	73	0			24	7	20	4						14 Young	62	33	62	32
							50		-	5 Jarman	76	15	75	11				•	
-						-										60	36	65	30
											40	17		10+				-	6
			-				-		-								-		17
							• •		••										65
	-		-													- · ·			3
																	-		16
				0 LOI	ng	3/	11	27	5	o McMillan	45	4	28	0					37
20	/4	19	/5	MISSIS	SSIPPI					TENNESSEE					23 Kuzen	37	-04	57	3/
						43	2	67	2	4 Evins	36	26	38	21					
39	4	60	5			87	10	81	9	5 Fulton	17					84	10	85	10
			11						6	6 Anderson									7
							-	- ·	-							-	-		5
									•								-		7
	51 43 16 77 68 75 83 41 82 67 20 20	51 28 43 29 16 28 77 11 68 14 75 25 83 6 41 43 82 9 67 26 20 57 20 74 39 4 85 14 83 17	51 28 39 43 29 41 16 28 18 77 11 73 68 14 69 75 25 67 83 6 81 41 43 33 82 9 85 67 26 70 20 57 25 20 74 19 39 4 60 85 14 84 83 17 82	51 28 39 32 43 29 41 28 16 28 18 45 77 11 73 9 68 14 69 17 75 25 67 33 83 6 81 6 41 43 33 57 82 9 85 8 67 26 70 27 20 57 25 57 20 57 25 57 39 4 60 5 35 14 84 11 83 17 82 16	31 36 33 32 1 Si 2 N 2 N 2 N 51 28 39 32 3 M 43 29 41 28 6 C 16 28 18 45 7 P 16 28 18 45 7 P 68 14 69 17 2 Ba 75 25 67 33 3 C 83 6 81 6 4 W 41 43 33 57 5 P 82 9 85 8 6 Rc 67 26 70 27 7 Br 20 74 19 75 1 A 39 4 60 5 2 W 39 4 60 5 2 W 83 17 82 16 4 M	1 Stubblefield 2 Natcher 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins LOUISIANA LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 41 43 33 57 5 Passman 82 9 85 6 Rarick 66 67 26 70 27 7 Breaux 2 20 57 25 57 8 Long 20 57 25 57 8 Long 39 4 60 5 2 Whitten 39 4 60 5 2 Whitten 38 <td>31 30 32 1 Stubblefield 56 2 Natcher 67 2 Natcher 67 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 75 25 67 33 3 Coffery 43 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 41 43 35 7 5 Passman 57 82 9 85 8 6 Rarick 70 67 26 70 27 7 Breaux 2 67† 20 57 25 57 8 Long 37 20 74 19 75<td>30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 2 Natcher 67 33 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 33 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 81 4 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 82 70 27 7 Breaux 2 67 33</td><td>1 30 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 2 Natcher 67 33 68 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 31 44 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 82 9 85 6 6 7 7 7 12 78</td><td>31 30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65* LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 81 43 33 57 5 Possman 57 11 68 11 8</td><td>51 36 53 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 17 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 1 Davis 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 11 3</td><td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Perper 41 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 1 Tennon 60 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 1 Davis 43 Steed 59 5 Jarman 76 75 25 67 33 3 Coffery 43 17 60 16</td><td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Tennon 60 7 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Tunnon 60 7 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 3 Albert 18* 24* 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 76 15 75 25 67</td><td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 17 73 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 43 49 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 5 Jarman 76 15 75 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 68 16 4</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY ⁶ Preyer ¹ Stubblefield ⁵ S ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁷¹ ¹¹ Taylor ⁸³ 17 ⁷³ 19 ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 43 29 41 ²⁸ 28 ⁶ Curlin 47 ³¹ 44* 31* OKLAHOMA ¹¹ Taylor 83 ¹⁷ 73 ¹⁹ ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 16 28 ¹⁸ 45 ⁷ Perkins 30 ⁶⁷ 33 ⁶⁵ 25 ⁵⁶ 3 Albert ¹⁸ 24* ²⁸ 28* ³⁷ ¹¹ Poage 77 ¹¹ 73 ⁹ ¹ Hebert ²⁶ 7 ⁷ 39 ⁶ ¹⁶ 25 ⁵⁶ ⁵ ⁵ Jorman ⁷⁶ 15 ⁷⁵ 11 ¹¹ 5 de la Garza ¹⁶ White 83 ⁶ ⁸ 1 ⁶ ⁷ 27 ⁷ Breaux ² ⁷ 33 ¹⁶ 20 ⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 81 ¹⁸ 30 ¹⁰ 3 ¹⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁹</td><td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 71 17 79 Prekins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 24 12 Wright 47 71 17 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jorman 76 15 75 11</td><td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 36 53 32 Mazzoli 25 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 31 0 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 13 Provel 47 39 4 43 30 57 50 11 Previsit 13 Previsit 13 Previsit 30 10</td><td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 57 13 57 13 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 13 57 30 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 44 43 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Colusian 31 10 9 9 Brooks 46 43 32 2 2 2</td></td>	31 30 32 1 Stubblefield 56 2 Natcher 67 2 Natcher 67 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 75 25 67 33 3 Coffery 43 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 41 43 35 7 5 Passman 57 82 9 85 8 6 Rarick 70 67 26 70 27 7 Breaux 2 67† 20 57 25 57 8 Long 37 20 74 19 75 <td>30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 2 Natcher 67 33 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 33 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 81 4 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 82 70 27 7 Breaux 2 67 33</td> <td>1 30 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 2 Natcher 67 33 68 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 31 44 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 82 9 85 6 6 7 7 7 12 78</td> <td>31 30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65* LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 81 43 33 57 5 Possman 57 11 68 11 8</td> <td>51 36 53 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 17 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 1 Davis 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 11 3</td> <td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Perper 41 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 1 Tennon 60 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 1 Davis 43 Steed 59 5 Jarman 76 75 25 67 33 3 Coffery 43 17 60 16</td> <td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Tennon 60 7 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Tunnon 60 7 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 3 Albert 18* 24* 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 76 15 75 25 67</td> <td>31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 17 73 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 43 49 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 5 Jarman 76 15 75 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 68 16 4</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY ⁶ Preyer ¹ Stubblefield ⁵ S ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁷¹ ¹¹ Taylor ⁸³ 17 ⁷³ 19 ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 43 29 41 ²⁸ 28 ⁶ Curlin 47 ³¹ 44* 31* OKLAHOMA ¹¹ Taylor 83 ¹⁷ 73 ¹⁹ ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 16 28 ¹⁸ 45 ⁷ Perkins 30 ⁶⁷ 33 ⁶⁵ 25 ⁵⁶ 3 Albert ¹⁸ 24* ²⁸ 28* ³⁷ ¹¹ Poage 77 ¹¹ 73 ⁹ ¹ Hebert ²⁶ 7 ⁷ 39 ⁶ ¹⁶ 25 ⁵⁶ ⁵ ⁵ Jorman ⁷⁶ 15 ⁷⁵ 11 ¹¹ 5 de la Garza ¹⁶ White 83 ⁶ ⁸ 1 ⁶ ⁷ 27 ⁷ Breaux ² ⁷ 33 ¹⁶ 20 ⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 81 ¹⁸ 30 ¹⁰ 3 ¹⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁹</td> <td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 71 17 79 Prekins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 24 12 Wright 47 71 17 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jorman 76 15 75 11</td> <td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 36 53 32 Mazzoli 25 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 31 0 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 13 Provel 47 39 4 43 30 57 50 11 Previsit 13 Previsit 13 Previsit 30 10</td> <td>51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 57 13 57 13 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 13 57 30 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 44 43 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Colusian 31 10 9 9 Brooks 46 43 32 2 2 2</td>	30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 2 Natcher 67 33 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 33 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 81 4 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 82 70 27 7 Breaux 2 67 33	1 30 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 2 Natcher 67 33 68 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 32 9 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 31 44 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 82 9 85 6 6 7 7 7 12 78	31 30 33 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65* LOUISIANA 77 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 68 14 69 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 81 43 33 57 5 Possman 57 11 68 11 8	51 36 53 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 17 11 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 6 81 6 4 Waggonner 82 10 85 6 1 Davis 81 43 33 57 5 Passman 57 11 68 11 3	31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Perper 41 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 1 Tennon 60 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 1 Davis 43 Steed 59 5 Jarman 76 75 25 67 33 3 Coffery 43 17 60 16	31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Tennon 60 7 2 Natcher 67 33 68 32 11 Tunnon 60 7 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 3 Albert 18* 24* 17 71 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jarman 76 15 75 25 67	31 36 32 1 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 1 Taylor 83 17 73 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 16 28 18 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 43 49 17 2 Boggs 18 50 25 56 5 Jarman 76 15 75 75 25 67 33 3 Caffery 43 17 60 16 SOUTH CAROLINA 83 68 16 4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51 36 53 32 KENUCKY ⁶ Preyer ¹ Stubblefield ⁵ S ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁶ Preyer ⁴¹ 51 ⁴⁰ 55 ⁶ Teague 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli ²⁵ 73 ²⁷ 73 ⁷¹ ¹¹ Taylor ⁸³ 17 ⁷³ 19 ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 43 29 41 ²⁸ 28 ⁶ Curlin 47 ³¹ 44* 31* OKLAHOMA ¹¹ Taylor 83 ¹⁷ 73 ¹⁹ ⁹ Brooks ¹⁰ Prekle 16 28 ¹⁸ 45 ⁷ Perkins 30 ⁶⁷ 33 ⁶⁵ 25 ⁵⁶ 3 Albert ¹⁸ 24* ²⁸ 28* ³⁷ ¹¹ Poage 77 ¹¹ 73 ⁹ ¹ Hebert ²⁶ 7 ⁷ 39 ⁶ ¹⁶ 25 ⁵⁶ ⁵ ⁵ Jorman ⁷⁶ 15 ⁷⁵ 11 ¹¹ 5 de la Garza ¹⁶ White 83 ⁶ ⁸ 1 ⁶ ⁷ 27 ⁷ Breaux ² ⁷ 33 ¹⁶ 20 ⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 81 ¹⁸ 30 ¹⁰ 3 ¹⁷ 3 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁷ 8 ¹⁸ 5 ¹⁹	51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 43 29 41 28 6 Curlin 47 31 44* 31* OKLAHOMA 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 71 17 79 Prekins 30 67 33 65 2 Edmondson 31 16 39 24 12 Wright 47 71 17 73 9 1 Hebert 26 7 39 6 5 Jorman 76 15 75 11	51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 36 53 32 Mazzoli 25 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 51 28 39 32 3 Mazzoli 25 73 27 71 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 31 0 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 11 Previsit 13 Provel 47 39 4 43 30 57 50 11 Previsit 13 Previsit 13 Previsit 30 10	51 36 53 32 KENUCKY 6 Preyer 41 51 40 55 6 Teague 61 13 57 13 57 13 Stubblefield 56 17 61 21 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 7 Lennon 60 7 73 5 8 Eckhardt 15 77 11 13 57 30 67 33 68 32 11 Taylor 83 17 73 19 9 Brooks 46 44 44 44 44 43 45 7 Perkins 30 67 33 65 2 Colusian 31 10 9 9 Brooks 46 43 32 2 2 2

1 Rep Elizabeth Andrews (D Ala.) sworn in April 10, 1972, to replace Rep. George W. Andrews (D), deceased.

2 Rep. Edwin W. Edwards (D La.) resigned May 9, 1972. His scores for 1972 were 0 percent with the South and 0 percent with the North. Rep. John B. Breaux (D) sworn in Oct. 12, 1972, to replace Edwards.

1972 CQ ALMANAC-73

Northern Democratic	Senators'	Scores
---------------------	-----------	--------

ALASKA Gravel	6	59	7	62	IOWA Hughes	5	81	5	83	NEVADA Bible Cannon	53 40	42 36	62 52		SOUTH DAKOTA McGovern	1	25	1	36
CALIFORNIA					MAINE	-				Cannon	40	30	52	30	UTAH				
Cranston	7	87	- 7	87	Muskie	3	53	4	54	NEW HAMPSHIRE					Moss	8	73	12	69
Tunney	7	82	10	77	MASSACHUSETTS					McIntyre	14	43	25	46	MOSS	0	/3	13	07
CONNECTICUT					Kennedy	4	79	7	75						WASHINGTON				
Ribicoff	7	73	9	72	MICHIGAN					NEW JERSEY					Jackson	28	39	26	38
hipicon	,	/0		12	Hart	5	88	4	87	Williams	4	85	6	83	Magnuson	18	61	23	58
HAWAII					(lan	5	00	-	0,						0				
Inouye	9	76	12	68	MINNESOTA					NEW MEXICO					WEST VIRGINIA				
					Humphrey	5	53	6	61	Anderson	33	35	40	34	Byrd	51	47	56	40
IDAHO					Mondale	3	89	3	88	Montoya	23	67	29	57	Randolph	34	55	40	
Church	11	74	12	72	MISSOURI														
ILLINOIS					Eagleton	9	70	13	66	NORTH DAKOTA					WISCONSIN				
Stevenson	6	83	8	80	Symington	19	80	21	75	Burdick	15	81	19	76	Nelson	10	90	8	90
			-		synnigron	.,	00		/5						Proxmire	24	76	17	83
INDIANA					MONTANA					RHODE ISLAND									
Bayh	6	75	5	70	Mansfield	11	74	13	71	Pastore	15	77	20	69	WYOMING				
Hartke	11	60	8	59	Metcalf	7	53	10	57	Pell	4	61	9	67	McGee	19	34	27	38

Northern Democratic Representatives' Scores

ALASKA					3 Brademas	8	85	7	89	9 Hungate	31	53	36	52	21 Stokes	6	71	5	77
AL Begich	17	79	13	85	4 Roush	34	58	26	68	10 Burlison	56	41		43	22 Vanik		87	8	89
ARIZONA					9 Hamilton	27	70	21	76				•-				0,	-	·]
2 Udall	0	80	8	78	11 Jacobs	26	70	18	78	MONTANA		(0	00	()	OREGON				
		00	0	/0						2 Melcher	23	62	20	07	2 Uliman		53		55
CALIFORNIA					IOWA					NEVADA					3 Green	45	25	40	38
2 Johnson	42			51	2 Culver	10	77	7	80	AL Baring	42	7	55	7	PENNSYLVANIA				
3 Moss	12 17	66	17	70 69	5 Smith	32	56	31	59	NEW JERSEY					1 Barrett		74		62
4 Leggett 5 Burton	13	66 82	8	85						3 Howard	10		7	83	2 Nix		73	. –	73
7 Dellums	.3	84	7	83	KANSAS					4 Thompson	9	67	8	75	3 Byrne	19	54	23	56
8 Miller	17	35	27	38	2 Roy	50	43	32	61	8 Roe	24	73	24		4 Eilberg		76		75
9 Edwards	8	86	7	89						9 Helstoski	5	90	4	90	5 Green	3	89	3	84
14 Waldie	7	68	7		MAINE	~	-1			10 Rodino	3	91	4	86	6 Yatron	30	55	24	62
15 McFall	37	61	41	54	1 Kyros	8	82	11		11 Minish	13	85	11	87	11 Flood	35	59	44	52
16 Sisk	40	43	38	43	2 Hathaway	11	87	10	84	13 Gallagher	0	17	9	43	14 Moorhead	. 9	81	10	80
17 Anderson	12	74	13	78	MARYLAND					14 Daniels	17	70	21	70	15 Rooney	24	69	26	66
19 Holifield	20	57	29	54	2 Long	32	61	29	65	15 Patten	28	70	29	70	20 Gaydos	31	58	27	62 48
21 Hawkins	3	58	6	66	3 Garmatz	36	47	41	42	NEW MEXICO					21 Dent	27 36	57 55	22 33	46 57
22 Corman	13	64	14	68	4 Sarbanes	- 11	85	10		2 Runnels	62	23	54	17	24 Vigorito 25 Clark	23	37	33 31	30
26 Rees	14	79	9	77	6 Byron	73	20	73	23	NEW YORK					25 Clark 26 Morgan		3/ 74	33	-
29 Danielson	20	70	18	73	7 Mitchell	7	83	5	86	Pike	42	56	39	59	-	25	/4	23	J″
30 Roybal	13	81	8	84	MASSACHUSETTS					3 Wolff	12	75		81	RHODE ISLAND				
31 Wilson	33	49	28	45	2 Boland	15	78	17	76	7 Addabbo		83	13	80	1 St Germain	18		17	
34 Hanna	16	63	16	54	3 Drinan	3	96	3	95	8 Rosenthal	4	93	3	94	2 Tiernan	10	74	10	72
37 Van Deerlin	17	67	15	70	4 Donohue	7	89	7	74	9 Delaney	43	43	54	34	SOUTH DAKOTA				ļ
COLORADO					6 Harrington	3	92	4	91	10 Celler	9	68	8	66	1 Denholm	47	39	35	52
3 Evans	21	52	21	62	7 MacDonald	10	57	16	59	11 Brasco	10	78	11	78	2 Abourezk	17	54	12	70
4 Aspinal	56	30	58	30	8 O'Neill	10	80	14	78	12 Chisholm	3	57	6	66					1
CONNECTICUT					9 Hicks	23		24		13 Podell	8	81	5	83	UTAH	39	47	39	= 1
1 Cotter	22	69	22	67	11 Burke	9	91	14	86	14 Rooney	21	29	36	39	1 McKay	39	4/	37	31
3 Giaimo	32	49	35	49	MICHIGAN					15 Carey	11	67	8	75	WASHINGTON				
5 Monagan	37	50	35	57	1 Conyers	10	79	8	71	16 Murphy	23	48	28	43	2 Meeds	19	74	17	77
6 Grasso			18		12 O'Hara	21	69	-	73	17 Koch	3	92	3	89	3 Hansen	20	43	26	44
	20		10	0,	13 Diggs	5	65	5	50	18 Rangel	6	84	5	81	4 McCormack	27		21	59
HAWAII					14 Nedzi	17	76	16	78	19 Abzug	3	92	3	93	5 Foley	22	74	21	73
Matsunaga	18	67	17	73	15 Ford	12		11		20 Ryan I		711		86*	6 Hicks	28	70	31	65
2 Mink	4	88	6	86	16 Dingell	17	65	20	68	21 Badillo	4	85	4	84	7 Adams	11	86	11	80
ILLINOIS					17 Griffiths	20	53	24	47	22 Scheuer	3	77	5	81	WEST VIRGINIA				
1 Metcalfe	5	51	5	57	MINNESOTA					23 Bingham	5 29	80 59	4 29	89 58	1 Mollohan	28	38	36	38
2 Mikva	12	70	8	78	4 Karth	8	82	8	82	24 Bioggi 27 Dow	29	58 80	29 5	58 85	2 Staggers	35	50	40	42
3 Murphy	18	69	22	66	5 Fraser	8	82 80	6	82 86	27 Dow 29 Stratton	40	57		52	3 Slack	54	38	57	34
5 Kluczynski	23	50	27	47	7 Bergland	21	o∪ 77	17	81	35 Hanley	30	57 63	43 27	5∠ 68	4 Hechler	17	83	14	86
6 Collins	11	73	10		8 Blatnik		43		49	41 Dulski	39	52			5 Kee	30	37	37	32
7 Annunzio	23	60	27	61		.,	45	. 4			57	52		55	WISCONSIN				
8 Rostenkowski 9 Yates	18	64	23	61	MISSOURI					NORTH DAKOTA			• /		1 Aspin	14	78	9	82
	5	87	4		1 Clay	3	52	3		2 Link	22	51	16	67	2 Kastenmeier	15	83	9	87
11 Pucinski 21 Grav	14 28	50 51	19 32	60 50	2 Symington	10	75	12	75	OHIO					4 Zablocki	33	66	41	58
23 Shipley	28 47	37	- 32 - 42	50 45	3 Sullivan	32		30	55	9 Ashley			17		5 Reuss	11		8	89
23 Shipley 24 Price	14	37 85	24		4 Randall	70	23	68	24	14 Seiberling	5		6	89	7 Obey		79		85
	14	00	24	12	5 Bolling	24	62	22		18 Hays				39*	,				
INDIANA	<u> </u>		~	00	6 Hull	61	11	73	11	19 Carney	16	64	17		WYOMING				
1 Madden		85	8	82	8 Ichord	66	9	70	16	20 Stanton	24	62	24	66	AL Roncalio	30	54	23	68
l Rep. William F. Ry	an (D]	V. Y.,) die	d Sept	. 17, 1972.						_								

74—1972 CQ ALMANAC