

## Diversity in CQ Researcher

SAGE's **CQ Researcher** is written and edited by professional journalists who take seriously the responsibility to seek out diverse voices and to ensure that our reports fully reflect the experiences of many different communities and interests.

While we of course quote the views of academics and other experts, we also take care to include the experiences of those directly caught up in the issues we write about – for example, the indigenous Honduran asylum-seeker whose experiences led our recent report on global migration:

“ Ricci, a 25-year-old farmer from Honduras, and her 4-year-old son arrived at the U.S. border after a harrowing ordeal.

A member of an indigenous group that has long faced discrimination and violence, Ricci fled Honduras after her husband was murdered in December 2018 and she received death threats.



We select our photographic illustrations with an eye to inclusivity.

We also seek out diverse voices for our contributors to the Pro/Con section of our reports, one of our most well-read features, as in this report on Universal Basic Income:



Universal Basic Income, March 6, 2020 – Vol. 30, Issue 10

### Pro/Con

Should the United States adopt a UBI policy?

#### Pro



#### Michael Lewis

Professor of Social Work, Hunter College, and Co-Founder and Board Member, U.S. Basic Income Guarantee Inc. Written for *CQ Researcher*, March 2020

As someone who has advocated a universal basic income (UBI) for about two decades, I'm thrilled that it is finally getting attention. However, I often qualify my support by saying it is "support in principle."

#### Con



#### David R. Henderson

Professor of Economics, Naval Postgraduate School, and Research Fellow, Hoover Institution. Written for *CQ Researcher*, March 2020

There are two major problems with a UBI. First, it would dramatically expand the size of the federal government and require a huge increase in taxes. Second, it would dramatically reduce the incentive to work for millions and possibly tens of millions of people not currently on welfare.

More broadly, we take care to choose report topics that represent the interests of many communities and groups, such as our report on the debate over reparations for slavery:



Reparations for Slavery, April 23, 2019 – Vol. 29, Issue 29

The essence of our publication is full and fair debate, and we are committed to making sure that every legitimate point of view is sought out and fully aired in our reports.